



Especializado em Vida

Probiotics supplementation in colorectal cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis

Victor Andrade Batistella¹; Fernando Arfux Maluf¹; Enzo Honório Ruzzon¹; Carlos Eduardo Coral de Oliveira²

¹Discente da Escola de Medicina PUCPR/Câmpus Londrina; ²Docente da Escola de Medicina PUCPR/Câmpus Londrina.

Introdução

The colorectal câncer (CRC) is the second in mortality amongst other cancer types and is the third in incidence around the world, with more than 1.800.000 cases anually. The main modifiable risk factors for this type of cancer are smoking, consumption of alcohol, red meat, processed meat, low ingestion of fruits and vegetables, obesity. Many of them are related to intestinal disbiosis. The intestinal microbiota plays an important role on immune system modulation and on the pathogenesis of intestinal diseases, while it is also related to the efficacy of oncological treatments. A disbiotic microbiota will further be related with the carcinogenesis process. The CRC treatment (surgery and/or chemotherapy) is related to high morbidity, and the patients may experience gastrointestinal symptoms, such as diarrhea and abdominal bloating, as well as symptoms due to surgical procedure and prolonged hospital stay, for instance, pneumonia, pyrexia, surgical site infection, urinary tract infection and others. An associated treatment with probiotic administration, focusing on the regulation of the microbiota may benefit CRC patients, possibly reducing treatment side effects.

Casuística e Métodos

This systematic review with meta-analysis aims to evaluate the effects of a complementary treatment with probiotics on postoperative infectious complications, pyrexia, and gastric symptoms in patients with colorectal neoplasms. Using the databases PubMed/MEDLINE, Scielo, BIREME, Cochrane Library, ClinicalTrials.gov, and the key words "probiotics" and "colorectal neoplasms", 118 articles were obtained. After a thorough review of their eligibility by separate investigators, 8 articles were included for the final analysis. The risk of bias was evaluated using the software Review Manager (RevMan) from Cochrane Collaboration, using their criteria, which investigates selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias and reporting bias, whilst publication bias was evaluated using the funnel-plot (Begg's test). When the outcomes were comparable, the RevMan was used to calculate the summary measure, and forest-plot graphics were generated for each comparable endpoint. Outcomes were considered comparable when analysed by at least 3 different articles and data was described in a comparable way. In addition, a table was constructed with the extracted information from each study.

Resultados

The total population from the 8 studies included was of 765, all of the studies used placebo as a comparator for the probiotic intervention and all of them had some level of blinding. Data was extracted regarding the type of strain that was used, intervention period and duration, study location, publication year, number of participants and their characteristics.

The comparable outcomes were: incidence of diarrhea (Odds Ratio (OR)= 0,40; Confidence Interval (CI) (95%)= 0,26 - 0,62), incidence of abdominal bloating (OR= 0,47; CI(95%)= 0,28 - 0,78), duration of pyrexia (Standard mean difference (SMD)= -0,48, CI(95%)= -0,68; -0,28), incidence of pneumonia (OR= 0,37; CI(95%)= 0,19 - 0,70), incidence of surgical site infection (OR= 0,48; CI(95%)= 0,26 - 0,92) and incidence of urinary tract infection (OR= 0,28; CI(95%)= 0,14 - 0,59).

The main probiotic strains used on the studies were, respectively, *L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, B. Longum and L. casei.* Most of the studies used a combination of probiotics.

The overall risk of bias was low and the Begg's test (funnel-plot) also showed a low publication bias risk. The heterogeneity analysis of the studies was also low ($I^2 = 0\%$), resulting on a robust summary measure.

Resultados

Below are presented the following results, respectively: 1) Absolute frequency and types of probiotic strains used on the included articles; 2) Forrest-plot for incidence of diarrhea in patients treating CRC; 3) Forrest-plot for incidence of abdominal bloating in patients treating CRC; 4) Forrest-plot for duration of pyrexia in patients treating CRC; 5) Forrest-plot for incidence of surgical site infection in patients treating CRC; 6) Forrest-plot for incidence of urinary tract infection in patients treating CRC; 7) Forrest-plot for incidence of pneumonia in patients treating CRC.



Study or Subgroup	Evente 3	lotal D	ente Toto		abst Main	Leived 95% C	Vear	M H Eived 95% Cl
study of Subgroup	Events	colar EV	4.0 5	n well	got M-H	i, rixed, 95% CI	rear	m-n, rixea, 95% Ci
Liu et al 2011	10	58	19 5	b 23.6	b% (.41 [0.17, 0.98]	2011	
Liu et al 2013	11	/5	22 (5 27.	7% L	.41 [0.18, 0.93]	2013	
Liu et al 2015	16	66	31 6	8 34.1	1% (1.38 [0.18, 0.80]	2015	
Mego et al 2015	9	23	14 2	3 12.6	6% (.41 [0.13, 1.35]	2015	
Zaharuddin et al 2019	6	8	5	6 2.1	1% (1.60 [0.04, 8.73]	2019	
Fotal (95% CI)		230	22	8 100.	0% 0	.40 [0.26, 0.62]		•
Total events	52		91					
Heterogeneity: Chir = 0.1	11, df = 4 (H	= 1.00);	P = 0%					0.05 0.2 1 5 20
l est for overall effect Z =	= 4.16 (P <	0.0001)						Favours Prob Favours Sem Prob
	Prob	Se	m Prob		0	dds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	ents To	tal Eve	nts Total	Weigl	ht M-H,	Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Liu et al 2011	12	58	20 56	37.7	% 0.	47 [0.20, 1.09]		
Liu et al 2015	22	66	35 68	53.71	% 0.	47 [0.23, 0.95]		
Mego et al 2015	2	23	4 23	8.5	% 0.	45 [0.07, 2.76]		
Tatal (OEV. CD		47	447	400.0	w 0	7 10 20 0 701		
Total (95% CI)		47	147	100.0	70 0.2	17 [0.28, 0.78]		-
i otal events	36		59					
Heterogeneity: Chi* = 0.	00, df = 2 (P = 1.00;	; I* = 0%				0.02	0,1 1 10 50
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.88 (P =	0.004)					Fav	ours [experimental] Favours [control]
	Prob	T	Sem Pro	b		Std. Mean Diffe	rence	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup M	iean SD	Iotal M	nean SD	Iotal	weight	IV, Fixed,	95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Liu et al 2011	6 1.9	58	1.2 2.1	56	28.2%	-0.60 [-0.97	, -0.22]	
Liu et al 2013	5.82 1.98	/5	0.68 2.29	/5	38.1%	-U.4U (-0.72	:, -U.U8]	
Liu et al 2015	6.02 1.68	66	6.98 2.22	68	33.7%	-0.48 [-0.83	i, -0.14]	
Total (95% CI)		199		199	100.05	.0.48 [.0.68		•
Hoterogeneity Chill - 0.6	0 df = 2 /P	- 0.74):1	= - 0%	100	100.07	-0.40 [-0.00	, -0.20]	
Tect for everall effect 7 =	4 75 /P < 0	0.00004	- 0.0					-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1
restion overall effect. 2 =	.4.75(1-0							Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb]
	D-	- 1-	Com De			Odde Datie		Odda Datia
Study or Subgroup	Pr	ob Total	Sem Pr	ob Total	Moight	Odds Ratio	6 CI	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Pr Event	ob s Total	Sem Pr Events	ob Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95	6 CI	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201	Pr Event	ob s Total 6 84	Sem Pr Events	ob Total 80	Weight 54.2%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95 0.31 [0.11, 0	.83]	Odds Ratio M.H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011	Pr Event	ob s Total 6 84 4 58	Sem Pr Events 16 6	ob Total 80 56	Weight 54.2% 20.3%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2	6 CI .83] .32]	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015	Pr Event	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8	ob Total 80 56 68	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2	% CI .83] .32] .29]	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (05% CD	Pr Event	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8	ob Total 80 56 68	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2	.83] .32] .29]	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total consta	Pr Event	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8	ob Total 80 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92]	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events	Pr Event 5	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30	ob Total 80 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0	.83] .32] .29] .92]	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	Pr Event 5	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4)	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0%	ob Total 80 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95° 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0	.83] .32] .29] .92]	Odds Ratio M4, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Test for overall effect: 2	Pr Event 5 1 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03)	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); ² = 0%	ob Total 80 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0	% CI .83] .32] .29] .92] 	Odds Ratio M 4, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: ChP = 1 Test for overall effect: 2	Pr Event 5 1 52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03)	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0%	ob Total 80 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95 ³ 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0	% CI .83] .32] .29] .92] ⊡.	Oldds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 1 Test for overall effect. 2	Pr Event 5 1 .52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Pr	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4; = 0.03) ob	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pr	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95' 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] 	Odds Ratio M 4, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ^P = 1 Test for overall effect: 2 Study or Subgroup	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Events	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob <u>s Total</u>	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pri <u>Events</u>	ob <u>Total</u> 80 56 68 204 204 ob <u>Total</u>	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95' 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95'	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .6 CI	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity. ChP = 1 Test for overall effect. 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201:	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Event: 5	ob <u>s Total</u> 6 84 4 58 6 66 208 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob <u>s Total</u> 4 84	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro Events 6 7	ob Total 56 68 204 00 Total 1 80 80	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 957 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 0.48 (0.26, 0 M-H, Fixed, 957 0.62 (0.17, 2	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .92] .0. 6 CI .27]	Odds Ratio M 4, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (9% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Test for overall effect. 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Liu et al 2011	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Pr Event: 5	ob s Total 6 84 58 66 208 6 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) 0b s Total 4 84 3 58	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro <u>Events</u> 6 7 16	ob Total 56 68 204 00 56 70 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95' 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95' 0.62 (0.17, 2 0.38 (0.09, 1	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .92] .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0	Oldds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Study of Subgroup Total (95% CI) Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: ChP = 1 Test for overall effect. 2 Study of Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201: Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2013	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Event: 5	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s Total 4 84 3 58 2 75	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro <u>Events</u> 6 7 10	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 56 75 80 56 75 75	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Meight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 953 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.20, 17, 1 0.38 [0.04, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] .92] .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total events Heterogeneity: Chirr = 1 Tesfor overall effect 2 Study of Subgroup Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2015	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Event: 5	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s Total 4 84 3 58 2 75 1 66	Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% 9 Sem Pro 6 7 10 9 9	ob Total 56 68 204 00 56 75 68	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 955 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 959 0.62 (0.17, 2 0.38 (0.09, 1 0.18 (0.04, 0 0.10 (0.01, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] .92] .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0. .0	Oldds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2015 Total events Heterogenety. Chil ² = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201: Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2015	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Pr Event: 5	ob s Total 6 84 6 86 208 6 6 6 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 7 75 1 66 203 203	Sem Pr Events 16 6 8 30 7); I ^P = 0% Sem Pro Events 6 7 10 9	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 56 75 68 270	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 955 0.31 (c) 11, 0 0.62 (c) 16, 2 0.75 (c) 25, 2 0.48 (c) 26, 0 M-H, Fixed, 955 0.62 (c) 17, 2 0.38 (c) 0.9, 1 0.18 (c) 0.4, 0 0.10 (c) 11, 0 0.28 (c) 14, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .56] .84] .82] − 50]	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2015 Total eyens Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Total overall Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total (eyes) Chi Total chi Lue et al 2015	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Event: 5	s Total 6 84 6 86 208 6 6 6 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s Total 4 84 3 58 2 75 1 66 283 6 6 6	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro <u>Events</u> 6 7 10 9	ob Total 56 68 204 204 56 75 68 279	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 955 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.62 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 M-H, Fixed, 955 0.62 (0.17, 2 0.88 (0.09, 1 0.18 (0.04, 0 0.10 (0.01, 0 0.28 (0.14, 0.	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .92] .56] .84] .82] − .59]	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzmysesi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% Cb) Total events Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 1 Test for overall effect. 2 Study or Subgroup and 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Total events	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Event: 5 5	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s Total 8 Total 84 4 84 3 3 58 2 1 66 283 0 2 75 1 66 283	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro <u>Events</u> 6 7 10 9 32 8 8	ob Total 0 56 68 204 56 75 68 279	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95 ⁵ 0.31 [0.11, 0 0.62 [0.16, 2 0.75 [0.25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.09, 1 0.18 [0.09, 1 0.10 [0.01, 0 0.28 [0.14, 0.	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .29] .27] .56] .84] .82] .99] .99]	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015 Total eyens Heterogeneity: Chill's a Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total eyents Heterogeneity: Chill's a Heterogeneity: Chill's a Total eyents Total eyents Total eyents Chillione Chillione Chillione Study or Subgroup Restrict and Study Study or Subgroup Study or S	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Pr Events 5 1 1.82, df = 3 1 1.82, df = 3	ob s Total 6 84 6 86 208 6 6 90.03 ob s s Total 4 84 3 58 2 75 1 66 (P = 0.42) (P = 0.42)	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 16 6 8 30 7); P = 0% Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 6 7 10 9 32 2); P = 0%	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 56 75 68 279	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 957 0.31 (0.11, 0 0.52 (0.16, 2 0.75 (0.25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 M.H. Fixed, 957 0.62 (0.17, 2 0.38 (0.04, 1 0.18 (0.04, 0 0.18 (0.04, 0 0.10 (0.01, 0	6 CI .83] .32] .92] .92] .92] .0. 6 CI .27] .56] .84] .82] − 59] 	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzmapassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Jue et al 2015 Total g9%s Cb Total events Total events Kotzmapassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2014 Kotzergesenety: ChF = 1 Total events Kotzergesenety: ChF = 1 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total events	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Event 5 1 1.82, df = 3 2 3.38 (P	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s 5 Total 4 84 3 58 2 75 1 66 (P = 0.42) (P = 0.42) = 0.0007	Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 7); ² = 0% Sem Pro Events 6 7 10 9 32 32 32 32	ob Total 90 56 68 204 80 56 75 68 279	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 957 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.57 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.38 (0.04, 0. 0.10 (0.01, 0. 0.28 (0.14, 0.	№ CI .83] .32] .29] .992]	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb]
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total GPS CD Total GPS CD Total events Heterogeneity: ChP = 1 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2015 Total GPS CD Total GPS CD Total GPS CD Total GPS CD Total GPS CD	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Pr Event 5 5 1.82, df = 3 = 3.38 (P	ob s Total 6 84 4 58 6 66 (P = 0.4) = 0.03) ob s Total 4 84 3 58 2 75 1 66 0 (P = 0.42) (P = 0.42) = 0.0007	Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 30 7); I ² = 0% Sem Pro- 6 7 10 9 9 22; I ² = 0%	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 80 56 56 56 56 80 204 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 28.1% 21.7% 21.7% 28.1% 28.1%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 957 0 31 (011, 0 0 62 (016, 2 0 75 (0 25, 2 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.48 [0.26, 0 0.62 [0.17, 2 0.38 [0.04, 0 0.18 [0.04, 0] [0.04, 0] [0.04, 0	K CI	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 10 Favours (Prob) Favours (SemProb) 04ds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 10 Favours (Prob) Favours (SemProb)
Study or Subgroup Kotzmysesi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% Cb) Total events Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzamgessi et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total events Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2 Total overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 Z = 2.23 (P Pr Event: 5 1.82, df = 3 z = 3.38 (P Pr	s Total 6 84 6 86 6 66 208 6 6 6 6 9 0 0 5 75 1 66 283 0 0 9 0 9 0 0 283 0 0 0 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9	Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 7); IP = 0% 9 Sem Pro 6 7 10 9 32 32 32 32 32 32 Sem Pro 5 5 5	ob Total 56 68 204 80 56 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 279 56 68 279 56 56 56 56 80 204 56 56 80 204 56 56 80 204 56 56 80 204 56 56 80 204 56 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 204 56 80 56 80 204 56 80 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 957 0 31 (0 11, 0 0 52 (0 16, 2 0 75 (0 25, 2 0 48 [0.26, 0 0 52 [0, 17, 0 0 18 [0.26, 0 0 18 [0.26, 0 0 18 [0.26, 0 0 10 [0.01, 0 0 10 [0.01, 0 0 28 [0.14, 0	K CI	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] 02dds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] 02dds Ratio 02dds Ratio
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% Ch Total events Heterogeneity: ChP = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2015 Total (95% Ch Total events Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Study or Subgroup	Pr Events 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Pr Events 5 11 1.82, df = 3 13 1.82, df = 3 11 1.82, df = 3 1 2 = 3.38 (P 2 Pr Events 5 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	s Total s Total s Total 6 84 6 64 208 6 208 6 6 208 6 - 6 - 6 - 7 - 0 - 2 75 1 66 283 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -	Sem Pr 166 6 8 300 7); P = 0% 9 Sem Prr 6 7 10 9 20; P = 0% 32 32 32 32 30; Sem Prr 5 5 5 5	ob Total 1 56 68 204 56 75 68 80 56 75 68 279 56 75 68 279 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M.H.Freed, 957 0 31 (011, 0 0 42 (016, 2 0 75 (0 25, 2 0.48 (0.26, 0 0 48 (0.09, 1 0 18 (0.24, 0 0 10 (0, 1) 0 18 (0, 1	6 CI 8.3] 3.2] 2.29] 9.2] 6 CI 2.27] 5.6] 8.41 0. 5.59] ↓ 0. 6 CI 0. 6 CI	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 10 10 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Luet al 2011 Luet al 2015 Total (9%K C) Total events Kotzampassi et al 2011 Luet al 2011 Luet al 2011 Luet al 2011 Luet al 2013 Luet al 2013 Luet al 2015 Total events Vestor or Subgroup Rotzampassi et al 2011	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Pr Events 5 11 1.82, df = 3 = 3.38 (P Pr Events 5	ob s Total s Total 4 58 6 2 6 7 5 1 6 6 7 5 1 6 6 7 1 6 6 7 1 6 6 7 1 6 6 7 1 6 7 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>Sem Pr 166 6 8 30 7); P = 0% 9 5em Pro 6 7 10 9 32 32 20; P = 0% 9 9 9</td><td>ob Total 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 80 56 68 279 75 68 80 56 68 279 75 68 80 56 68 80 56 68 80 56 68 80 80 56 68 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8</td><td>Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9%</td><td>Odds Ratio M-H, Freed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.62 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.26, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.16, 0. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0.28 (0.14, 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0.04)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))</td><td>K CI </td><td>Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI M.H., Fixed, 95% CI CI Favours [Prob] Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI</td></td<>	Sem Pr 166 6 8 30 7); P = 0% 9 5em Pro 6 7 10 9 32 32 20; P = 0% 9 9 9	ob Total 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 80 56 68 279 75 68 80 56 68 279 75 68 80 56 68 80 56 68 80 56 68 80 80 56 68 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9%	Odds Ratio M-H, Freed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.62 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.26, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.16, 0. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0.28 (0.14, 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0. 0.48 (0.14, 0.04)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	K CI	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI M.H., Fixed, 95% CI CI Favours [Prob] Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015 Total GPSK CD Total GPSK CD Total events Heterogeneity: ChPE = 1 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total GPSK CD Total GPSK C	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P F Events 5 11 1.82, df = 3 12 13 12 13 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	ob s Total s Total 6 84 58 6 <t< td=""><td>Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 7); ² = 0% 7 Sem Pr 6 7 10 9 9 8 20; ² = 0% 9 8 8</td><td>ob Total 1 56 68 204 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56</td><td>Weight 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 28.1% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 20.9% 23.1%</td><td>Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0, 0, 0, 20, 10, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2</td><td>K CI </td><td>Odds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] 04ds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 110 M H, Fixed, 95% CI 04ds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI</td></t<>	Sem Pr 16 6 8 30 7); ² = 0% 7 Sem Pr 6 7 10 9 9 8 20; ² = 0% 9 8 8	ob Total 1 56 68 204 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	Weight 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 28.1% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 20.9% 23.1%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0, 0, 0, 20, 10, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2	K CI	Odds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 110 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] 04ds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0,1 10 110 M H, Fixed, 95% CI 04ds Ratio M H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzmpassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015 Fotal effekt Total effekt Kotzmpassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Total effekt Kotzengesenety: ChPI = 1 Kotzengesenety: ChPI = 2 Total effekt Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total effekt Heterogenety: ChPI = 2 Testforowerail effect 2 Kotzampassi et al 2015 Kotzampassi et al 2015 Kotzampassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2011	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Event 5 1.82, df = 3 = 3.38 (P Pr Event 5 5 2.3 2.3 (P	ob s Total s Total 6 84 58 6 2 6 10	Sem Pr 166 6 8 30 7); IP = 0% 0 Sem Pro 20 20; IP = 0% 32 20; IP = 0% 32 Sem Pro 20% Sem Pro 32 10 32 20; IP = 0% 32 8 10	ob Total 9 80 56 68 204 204 80 56 56 68 279 56 68 279 56 68 279 56 68 279 56 68 279 56 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 6	Weight 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 28.1% 100.0% Veight 20.9% 22.31% 22.31% 28.7% 28.7%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.52 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0. 0.52 (0.17, 2. 0.38 (0.09, 1. 0.48 (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04,	K CI	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Charlen Control (Control (Cont
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% CD) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2015 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total events Total events Total events Chi ² = 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2015 Lue et al 2015	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P F Events 5 1 1.82, df = 3 1 1 1.82, df = 3 1 1 1.82, df = 3 1 1 1.82, df = 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	ob s Total s Total 6 6 6 6 208 6 6 209 6 (P = 0.4) s Total 6 y 0 y 1 s Total 4 84 3 58 7 1 0 (P = 0.4) 2 75 1 66 00 00 2 75 1 66 2 0 0 00 2 75 0 00 2 8 4 3 2 8 75 5 3 56 6 6	Sem Pr Events 16 0 30 7); P = 0% 9 Sem Pr 6 7 10 9 32 2); P = 0% 9 8 10% 8 10% 9 8 100 8	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 204 80 56 75 68 279 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Weight 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0% Veight 26.9% 23.1% 23.8% 23.8% 23.8% 23.8% 23.8% 23.8%	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.62 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.26, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04)	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] .6 CI .27] .56] .84] .56] .84] .59] .6 CI .92] .6 CI .6 CI .6 CI .6 CI .6 CI .6 CI .71 .59] .6 CI .71 .72 .73 .73 .73 .74	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Ol Ol I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Study or Subgroup Kotzmynassi et al 201 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2015 Total 69% Ch Total 69% Ch Total 69% Ch Total 60% Ch Kotzampassi et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2014 Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2 Test for overail effect 2 Study or Subgroup et al 2014 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2 = 2.23 (P Pr Event 5 1 .82, df = 3 = 3.38 (P Pr Event 5 5	ob s Total s Total 6 4 58 6 6 6 6 6 6 0 0 9 6 1 0 0 5 5 0 0 5 5 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 <td< td=""><td>Seen Pr Events 30 30 30 50 50 50 50 50</td><td>ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 80 56 75 68 279 56 80 56 56 56 68 279 56 56 68 204</td><td>Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9% 23.1% 28.7% 29.7% 21.4%</td><td>Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.52 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04</td><td>K CI </td><td>Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Oli Oli Toling Favours [Serrol] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI</td></td<>	Seen Pr Events 30 30 30 50 50 50 50 50	ob Total 80 56 68 204 204 80 56 75 68 279 56 80 56 56 56 68 279 56 56 68 204	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9% 23.1% 28.7% 29.7% 21.4%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.52 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04, 0. 0.48 (0.04, 0.04) (0.04	K CI	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Oli Oli Toling Favours [Serrol] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% CD) Total oversit Metorogeneity: Chill Total oversit Mottage Kotzampassi et al 201: Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2014 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% CD) Total oversit Heterogeneity: Chill Lue et al 2015 Total (95% CD) Total coresis Lue et al 2015 Lue et al 2014 Lue et al 2015 Kotzampassi et al 2014 Lue et al 2015 Total (95% CD)	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 5 7 1.82, df = 2 5 1.82, df = 3 2 3.38 (P 1 1.82, df = 3 2 3.38 (P 1 1 1 1 2 5 2 2 3 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 8 2 3 8 2 3 8 8 2 3 8 8 8 8	ob s Total s Total 6 84 58 6 <t< td=""><td>Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 30 30 30 30 30 30 5 8 8 8 8 10 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9</td><td>ob Total 80 56 68 204 bb Total 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 279 80 56 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75</td><td>Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 24.4% 100.0%</td><td>Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.</td><td>6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] .92] .84] .82] - .56] </td><td>Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio</td></t<>	Sem Pr <u>Events</u> 30 30 30 30 30 30 5 8 8 8 8 10 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	ob Total 80 56 68 204 bb Total 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 279 80 56 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75 68 75	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 26.9% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 24.4% 100.0%	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.41, 0.41, 0. 0.48 (0.41, 0.	6 CI .83] .32] .29] .92] .92] .84] .82] - .56]	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup Kotzmpassi et al 201 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2015 Total (9% C) Total 6%% C) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi# = 1 Kudy or Subgroup Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total 6%% C) Total events Study or Subgroup Kudy or Subgroup Study or Subgroup Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2011 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2013 Lue et al 2015 Total events Total events	Pr Event 5 1.52, df = 2 2.33 (ff = 3 3.80 (ff = 3 3.80 (ff = 3 5 2.33 (ff = 3 5 2.33 (ff = 3 2.33 (ff = 3 3.33 (ff = 3 3.3	ob s Total s Total 6 84 58 6 66 66 66 2 8 3 58 2 7 5 1 66 6 2 8 3 5 8 3 5 8 3 5 8 3 5 5 6 6 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 5 5 6 6 3 3 5 5 5 6 6 3 3 5 5 5 5 5	Sem Pr 200 30 7); № = 0% Sem Prr 20 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 31 32 32 31 32 32 32 32 32 33 34 35	ob Total 1 80 56 68 204 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 80 56 68 279 75 68 279 75 68 279 75 68 80 56 68 80 56 68 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 21.7% 21.8% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 28.9% 23.1% 23.1% 100.0%	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95: 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.52 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.16, 0. 0. 0.48 (0.16, 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	№ CI .8.3] .3.2] .2.9] .9.2] .0. .6 CI .0. .5.6] .8.4] .5.6] .8.4] .5.6] .0. .9.2] .0. .9.3] .0. .9.3] .0. .9.3] .0. .9.3] .0. .9.2] .0. <td< td=""><td>Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Oli Oli Tolini Tolini Tolini Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station Contemportation Station Contemportatio</td></td<>	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Oli Oli Tolini Tolini Tolini Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station MH, Fixed, 95% CI Contemportation Station Contemportation Station Contemportatio
Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total 69% CD Total events Heterogeneity. Chi [#] = 1 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Kotzampassi et al 2011 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Total events Heterogeneity. Chi [#] = 2 Test for overall effect 2 Study or Subgroup Notzempassi et al 2015 Liu et al 2015 Liu et al 2015 Liu et al 2015 Liu et al 2015 Total events Heterogeneity. Chi [#] = 2 Total (95% CD) Total events Heterogeneity. Chi [#] = 2 Total (95% CD) Total events Heterogeneity. Chi [#] = 2	Pr Event 1 1 1.52, df = 2 2 2.3 (P Pr Event 5	ob s Total s Total 6 84 58 6 <	Sear Pr 16 6 8 30 7); P = 0% 9 5em Pr 7 7 10 32 2 10; P = 0% 8 10 10 9 8 10 8 10 10 9 8 10 8 10 10 8 10 10 8 10 10 8 10 10 8 10 11 9 9 12 9 9 13 10 8	ob Total 1 80 56 68 204 80 56 68 279 56 80 56 56 56 56 56 80 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 279	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% Weight 18.8% 22.7% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0% Neight 26.9% 23.1% 28.7% 28.7% 28.7% 28.7% 20.3% 28.7% 20.3% 20.5% 20.3% 20.3% 20.5% 20.5% 20.3% 20.5% 2	Odds Ratio MH, Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.32 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.46, 0.	K CI	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 1 0 11 Favours [Prob] Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 Favours [SemProb] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 1 10 10 01 0.1 1 10 10
Study or Subgroup Kotzmpassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2015 Total (9% Ch) Total events Kotzmpassi et al 201 Liu et al 2015 Total events Kotzmpassi et al 201 Liu et al 2011 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2015 Total events Kotzampassi et al 2014 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2014 Liu et al 2013 Liu et al 2014 Liu et al 2015 Total events Fotal events Heterogeneity: ChP ⁺ 2 Total events Heterogeneity: ChP ⁺ 2	Pr Event 1 1 1.52, df = 2 2.23, (P Pr Event 1.82, df = 3 .5 1. 	obs Total s Total G 6 Q08 6 G 6 CP = 0.42 - s Total A 84 208 - 0 - 2 75 1 66 2 75 0 - 283 0 0 - 2 8 3 75 3 66 2 75 3 75 3 75 3 75 3 75 3 75 3 75 4 94 9 9 9 9 9 9	Sear Pr 200 7); P = 0% 300 7); P = 0% 8 9 8 10 9 8 10 9 8 10 9 8 10 9 10 9 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 12 13 14 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ob Total 80 56 58 204 204 80 56 56 56 56 279 279 279 1 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	Weight 54.2% 20.3% 25.5% 100.0% 21.7% 31.3% 28.1% 100.0% Weight 20.9% 23.1% 23	Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95 0.31 (0.11, 0. 0.52 (0.16, 2. 0.75 (0.25, 2. 0.48 (0.26, 0. 0.48 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0.26, 0.08 (0	K CI	Odds Ratio M.H., Fixed, 95% CI 01 0.1 1 0 11 Favours [SemProb] 02 03 0.1 1 0 11 Control (Control (Contro)

Conclusões

The results obtained on the present study agree with other similar studies on the topic, and suggest that the use of probiotics in patients with CRC may reduce the incidence of post-operative infectious complications, gastrointestinal symptoms, and reduce the duration of pyrexia. However, the literature still lacks evidence from well conducted randomized controlled trials on the topic. During the review process it was noted that the recruitment of eligible patients was a very important limitation, for instance, a study with a recruitment period of 9 years had only 134 eligible patients. Also, a factor that may influence the results is that 6 out of 8 studies were conducted with populations from Southeast Asia. Furthermore, it needs to be clarified if the efficacy of the probiotic treatment is higher when used in combination with symbiotics or alone. Nevertheless, the main limitations found for the implementation of probiotic usage in daily clinical practice was the uncertainty regarding which probiotic strain combination is better and for how long it should be administered before and after treatment.

