

Laura Pereira Alvim¹, Kwanghee Kim¹, Fengshen Kuo¹, Ziyu Chen¹, Wesley Yip¹, Andrew Katims¹, Andreas Aulitzky¹, Jonathan Coleman¹

¹Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Introdução e Objetivo

- While upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) comprises only 5-10% of urothelial tumors¹, the 5-year disease specific survival rate is <50% for patients with pT2/T3 disease and <10% for patients with pT4 disease².
- Studying the molecular and genomic landscape of UTUC as a distinct entity from urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB) has been important for the development of targeted therapies and can be helpful in improving risk-stratification, and guiding treatment selection.
- In this study, we aim to identify transcriptomic and genomic signatures that may help predict disease prognosis in patients with UTUC.

Método

- Total RNA was isolated using Trizol from frozen tissue blocks obtained from 100 patients with nonmetastatic UTUC that underwent radical nephroureterectomy (MSK100 cohort).
- TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) was used for library preparation, followed by sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500.
- RNA-seq gene level count values were computed using the R package GenomicAlignments over aligned reads with UCSC KnownGene in hg19 as the base gene model.
- Differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis were performed through the R package DESEq2. DEG analysis results were used as input for immune deconvolution analysis and Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) against the Hallmark gene set collection from MSigDB, through the R package clusterProfiler.



Resultados

- 5 distinct molecular clusters were identified based on the expression of the top 10% differentially expressed genes in the MSK100 cohort : C1: N=17; C2: N=18; C3: N=30; C4: N=11; C5: N=24. (Fig. 1).
- C1 & C2 were enriched with higher stage tumors (pT3 & pT4 = 58.8%, 72.2%, respectively), while C5 was the most enriched with non-invasive tumors (pTa & pT1 = 83.3%).
- The 5 transcriptionally-defined subsets of UTUC correlated with disease specific survival, with the C2 cluster showing the lowest DSS followed by C1 (Fig. 2). Recurrence frequency was highest for C2 (77.8%) and C1 (47.1%) (Fig. 3).
- Basal/squamous, stroma-rich and neuroendocrine-like subtypes were enriched in patients who developed disease recurrence following surgery (8% vs. 14.29%, p = 0.056) (Fig. 4). C2 was particularly enriched for non-Luminal Papillary tumors (6/18).
- C1 & C2 showed high myeloid infiltration (including high Adenosine signaling and macrophages), Immune Score, APM2 (antigen presenting machinery 2) and CYT (cytolytic score) (Fig. 5). C2 & C3 had high levels of CDBT cells, with the deconvolved score being higher in C2 than C3.
- C1 & C2 were enriched with several Hallmark immune and inflammatory signatures (allograft rejection, inflammatory response, ILG JAK/STAT3 signaling, and IL2 STAT5 signaling). C1 demonstrated a particularly inflamed phenotype, with additional enrichment of TNF-α signaling via NF-κB, INF-α and INF-γ response gene sets (Fig. 6).

Conclusão

- We identified 5 transcriptionally distinct UTUC clusters (Fig. 1) that correlate with pathologic T-stage, recurrence frequency, and disease specific survival.
- C2 & C1 were associated with poorer prognosis: decreased disease
 specific survival (Fig. 2), and higher incidence of recurrence (Fig. 3).
- Non-Luminal Papillary tumor subtypes were enriched in patients who developed recurrence (Fig. 4), and in the C2 cluster.
- Patients with recurrence had higher levels of inflammatory markers, adenosine signaling, and macrophage infiltration, which were also enriched in C1 and C2. C1 displays a more inflamed phenotype while C2 exhibits higher CD8T cell infiltration (Fig. 5 & 6).
- C1 followed by C2 had the highest scores for immunesuppresion and immunecheckpoints, expressing higher levels of PD1 and PDL1.
- Our future aims are to explore cluster relationship to response to treatment such as immunotherapy.

Referências

¹Siegel, RL, Miller, KD, Fuchs, HE, Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J Clin. 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21708</u>
 ²Rouprêt, M., et al. European Association of Urology Guidelines on Upper Urinary Tract Urothelial Carcinoma: 2017 Update. *European Urology*, Volume 73, Issue 1, 2018, Pages 111-122, ISSN 0302-2838, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.eururo.2017.07.036</u>.